

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Δ

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/647,170	09/27/2000	Klaus Indefrey	3286-108P	4019	
30596	7590 07/15/2005		EXAM	INER	
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. P.O.BOX 8910 RESTON, VA 20195			CALLAHAN, PAUL E		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2137		
			DATE MAILED: 07/15/200	DATE MAILED: 07/15/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_ν			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/647,170	INDEFREY		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Paul Callahan	2137		
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a ref If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may be arrived patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may reply within the statutory minimum of t od will apply and will expire SIX (6) Me tute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17	<u> April 2005</u> .			
Pa)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☒ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application	n.	·		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corrections as the standard tracking tracking the standard tracking tr	·			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form P1O-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) ☐ All_b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
1. Certified copies of the priority docume				
2. Certified copies of the priority docume				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the properties from the International Pure	•	en received in this National Stage		
application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li		ot received		
· ·	ist of the certified copies no	or received.		
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		v Summary (PTO-413)		
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
S. Patent and Trademark Office TOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office	Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 0711200501		

Application/Control Number: 09/647,170

Art Unit: 2137

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The reply filed on 4-21-2005 is not fully responsive to the prior Office Action because it does not constitute a proper response to the restriction requirement mailed in the previous Office Action.

The Applicant's asserts that newly presented claims 8-15 are directed towards the same invention as originally presented claims 1-7 stating: "The Examiner's position is incorrect because the specification discloses an example, non-limiting embodiment of a single invention (and not two separate inventions) to which originally presented claims 1-7 and newly added claims 8-15 are directed. The Examiner counters by noting that the newly presented claims 8-15 are written so as to define a new invention and the fact that only a single embodiment of the disclosed invention is taught in the specification does not alter this fact.

The Applicant states: "Furthermore, Applicants agree with the Examiner that new independent claim 8 "does not read on" original independent claim 1. But this is simply not pertinent. Otherwise, any amendment (e.g., a change in terminology) would result in a constructive election." The Applicant then, is stating that he agrees with the Examiner that claims 1 and 8 are directed to different inventions since the standard term of art "reads on," indicates as much. The Applicant's additional statement that a mere change in terminology cannot result in a claim becoming directed towards a new invention is simply incorrect.

Since the period for reply set forth in the prior Office action has expired,

Art Unit: 2137

this application will become abandoned unless applicant corrects the deficiency and obtains an extension of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a).

The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. In no case may an applicant reply outside the SIX (6) MONTH statutory period or obtain an extension for more than FIVE (5) MONTHS beyond the date for reply set forth in an Office action. A fully responsive reply must be timely filed to avoid abandonment of this application.

Conclusion

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul E. Callahan whose telephone number is (571) 272-3869. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 9 to 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Emmanuel Moise can be reached on (571) 272-3865. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is: (703) 872-9306. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

7-11-05

Paul alleh